The Cochin Tenancy Bill.

Mr. Thomas Manjuran *(Government Advocate and Law Officer).*— I beg to move that the Cochin Tenancy Bill be taken up and finally passed.

I second it.

Motion was put and carried.

The Cochin Municipal Bill.

Mr. President.—The question is that section 184, the consideration of which had been postponed, stand part of the Bill.

Mr. A. Sivarama Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu).*— Mr. President, Sir, I beg to move the following amendment to the amendment proposed by the Law Member to section 184. *Add 'after consulting that particular Council' between 'exempt' and 'any class'.* The amended proviso will read:—"Provided that the Government may in respect of all Municipalities exempt all buildings or any class of buildings from all or any of the provisions of this chapter or the said rules and in respect of any particular Municipality exempt, after consulting that particular Council, any class of buildings from all or any of the provisions of this chapter or the said rules."

Amendment as modified was put and carried.

Section 184 as amended was put and carried.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon *(Chittur-Rural).*—I beg to move the following amendment:—

Add as section 234 A :—

"Whoever tethers cattle or other animals or causes or suffers them to be tethered by any member of his family or household in any public street or place so as to obstruct or endanger the public traffic thereon or to cause nuisance or who causes or suffers such animals to stray about without a keeper shall be punished with fine which may amount to Rs. 25."

The nuisance caused to the public by allowing cattle to stray about is known to this place as well. The nuisance caused to the traffic by allowing these tethered cattle to stray about is larger. It cannot be known well here. The chief animals that are tethered are the horses and asses. I can give a clear idea

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly